ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIAL

Intel Corporation

2111 Ne 25th Avenue, MS: JF3-147

Hillsboro, Oregon 97124

Intel Legal Team

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 5 2006

Fax

Page 1 of 30

**Urgent** 

Confidential

Date: 5-Sep-06

To:

Fax:

Art Unit:

Examiner: Ma, Johnny

(571)-273-8300

2614

**USPTO** 

From:

Fax:

M/S:

Justin B. Scout

503-264-1729

JF3-147

Intel Corporation

Subject: Application No.: 09/580,305

,305 Docket #: P6484D2

Filed: May 26, 2000

Inventor: Shah-Nazaroff et al.

I hereby certify that the below listed correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO to: Mail Stop: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on September 5, 2006.

Derek S. Watson

Date: June 28, 2006

Message:

Included in this transmission:
Fax Cover Sheet (1 page)
Tranmittal Form (1 page)
Fee Transmittal (1 page submitted in duplicate)
Response to Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (1 page)
Corrected Appeal Brief (25 pages)

Important Notice

This information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmittal sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify the sender by telephone immediately so that arrangements can be made for the retrieval of the original document at no cost to you.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 5 2006 PTO/S8/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 09/580,305 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date 05/26/2000 First Named Inventor **FORM** Shah-Nazaroff, et al. Art Unit 2614 **Examiner Name** Ma, Johnny (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Attorney Docket Number** P6484D2 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC < Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a **Provisional Application** Proprietary Information After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Affidavits/declaration(s) Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer **Extension of Time Request** below): Response to Non-Compliant Appeal Brief Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** - Faceimile Transmittal CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD **Certifled Copy of Priority** Remarks Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Intel Corporation Signature /s/Justin B. Scout/Reg. No. 54,431/ Printed name Justin B. Scout Date Reg. No. September 5, 2006 54,431 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Peternt and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

09/05/2006

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Derek S. Watson

Typed or printed name

SEP 0 5 2006

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
of a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

555-thin on 12608/2004	Complete if Known						
Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).							
FEE TRANSMITTAL	Application Number Filing Date	09/580,305					
		05/26/2000					
For FY 2005	First Named Inventor	Shah-Nazaroff	<del></del> .				
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	Examiner Name	Ma, Johnny					
	Art Unit	2614					
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)	Attorney Docket No.	P6484D2					
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)							
Check Credit Card Money Order No	one Other (please id	lentify):					
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 50-0221	Deposit Account N	lama: Intel Corporatio	<u>in</u>				
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is h	ereby authorized to: (checi	k all that apply)					
Charge fee(s) indicated below		) indicated below, excep	t for the filing fee				
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Credit any overpayments under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.							
FEE CALCULATION							
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES							
FILING FEES SEA Small Entity		MINATION FEES					
Application Type Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee	Small Entity (\$) Fee (\$) Fee	Small Entity e (\$) Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)				
Utility 300 150 500		<del></del>					
Design 200 100 100	50 13	30 65					
Plant 200 100 300							
Reissue 300 150 500							
Provisional 200 100 0		0 0					
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Fee Description  Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)  Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)  Multiple dependent claims  Total Claims  Extra Claims Fee (\$)  Fee Paid (\$)  Multiple Dependent Claims  Total Claims Fee (\$)  HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.  Indep. Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$)  HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.  3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE  If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).  Total Sheets  Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)  Fee Paid (\$) Fee Paid (\$)							
4. OTHER FEE(S)  Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)  Fees Pald (\$)							
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge):							
SUBMITTED BY							
Signature /s/Justin B. Scout/Reg. No. 54,431/	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 54,431	Telephone 5	503-264-7002				

Name (Print/Type) Justin B. Scout Date September 5, 2006

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) en application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patentia, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

SEP 0 5 2006

SEP U 5 2005

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, on paragraphs are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

Effective on 12/08/2004.				Complete if Known						
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).							580,305			
FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2005		Filing Date 05		05/26/2000						
				Shah-Nazaroff						
				[	Examiner Name		Ma, John	nv		
Applicant cla	aims small ent	tity status.	See 37 CFR 1.27		Art Unit		2614			
TOTAL AMOUN	OF PAYME	NT (\$)		ı	Attorney Docket	No.	P6484D2		<del></del>	
METHOD OF F	AYMENT (	heck all	that apply)							
Check	Credit Car	T	Money Order	Non	e Other (p	ease id	entify);			
Deposit Ac	count Depo	sit Account	Number: 50-0221		Deposit Ac	count Na	me Intel	Corpor	ation	
			account, the Director is	her						
<b>√</b> Cha	arge fee(s) inc	dicated be	low		Charge	· fee(s)	indicated I	selow, ex	cept for the filing fee	
LV und	ler 37 CFR 1.	16 and 1.	s) or underpayments o			-	erpayment			
WARNING: Information and au			come public. Credit care	d inf	ormation should no	t be inc	luded on th	is form. P	rovide credit card	
FEE CALCUL	ATION									
1. BASIC FILIN			EXAMINATION FEE	S						
		FILING	FEES SE mail Entity	EAR	CH FEES Small Entity	EXA	MINATION			
Application 1	Гуре ј	Fee (\$)		e (\$		Fee		Entity (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)	
Utility		300	150 50	00	250	20	0 10	0		
Design		200	100 10	00	50	130	0 6	5		
Plant		200	100 30	00	150	16	0 8	0		
Reissue		300	150 50	00	250	60	0 30	0		
Provisional		200	100	0	0		0	0		
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Fee Description. Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) Multiple dependent claims					Ē	50 50 200 360	<u>Small Entity</u> <u>Fee (\$)</u> 25 100 180			
Total Claims		xtra Clain	ns Fee (\$)	Fee	Paid (\$)		м		ependent Claims	
	20 or HP =		x					Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	
<u>Indep. Claims</u>	<u>E</u> :	ilms paid fo ktra Clain	_	<u>Fee</u>	Paid (\$)					
	or HP = nber of indepen	dent claims	paid for, if greater than 3	<b>.</b>						
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE  If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50										
sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).  Total Sheets  Extra Sheets  Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof  100 = (round up to a whole number) x  Fee (\$)										
4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)										
Other (e.g.,	late filing s	urcharge	):							
SUBMITTED BY										
Signature	/s/Justin B. S	cout/Reg.	No. 54,431/		Registration No. 5	4,431		Telepho	<sup>one</sup> 503-264-7002	

Name (Print/Type) Justin B. Scout Date September 5, 2006 This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## SEP 0 5 2006

Appl. No. 09/580,305

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

In re Patent Application of:			Examiner:	Ma, Johnny
	Shah-Nazaroff, et al.	)	Art Unit:	2614
Application No.:	09/580,305	)		
Filed:	May 26, 2000	)		
For:	METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ORDERING ENTERTAINMENT PROGRAMS FROM DIFFERENT PROGRAMMING TRANSMISSION SOURCES	)))))))		

#### **RESPONSE TO NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF**

Honorable Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC 20231

#### Sir/Madam:

Appellants hereby submit this amended Brief in support of their Appeal from a final decision by the Examiner in the above-captioned case and in response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief of August 02, 2006.

The original Brief was rejected due to a lack of "a concise explanation of the subject matter". While Applicants respectfully disagree that the original Appeal Brief was non-complaint, Appellants believe that the alleged defects in the original Brief have been corrected and the Brief is now in condition for docketing before the Board. If the Examiner has any questions, they are invited to contact the undersigned at 503-264-7002.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 5, 2006

Intel Corporation
M/S JF3-147
2111 NE 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue
Hillsboro, Oregon 97124
503-264-7002

/Justin B. Scout/ Justin B. Scout Reg. No. 54,431

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

**2**006

Appl. No. 09/580,305

SEP 0 5 2006

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

In The United States Patent and Trademark Office Before The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

In re Patent Application of:

Shah-Nazaroff, et al.

Description:

Examiner:

Ma, Johnny

Art Unit:

2614

Application No.: 09/580,305

Filed: May 26, 2000

For: METHOD AND

APPARATUS FOR

ORDERING

ENTERTAINMENT PROGRAMS FROM

DIFFERENT PROGRAMMING TRANSMISSION

**SOURCES** 

# APPEAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANTS' APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Honorable Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC 20231

#### Sir/Madam:

Applicants (hereafter "Appellants") hereby submit this Brief in support of their Appeal from a final decision by the Examiner in the above-captioned case. Appellants respectfully request consideration of this Appeal by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for allowance of the claims in the above-captioned patent application.

An oral hearing is not desired.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

l.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	3
2.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	3
3.		
4.		
5.	SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER	4
6.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL	9
7.	ARGUMENT	10
	7.1. 35 U.S.C. § 103(A)	
	7.1.1. Carrubba and Hjelsvold: Claims 1, 2, 8-15, 18-21, 23, 25, and 26	
	7.1.1.1 Carrubba does not transmit the program: Program is housed on CD-i disc	11
	7.1.1.2 Carrubba does not transmit the program: Carrubba instead transmits "upgraded media feature	
	7.1.1.3 Conclusion	
	7.1.3. Carrubba, Hjelsvold, and Hendricks: Claim 24	
8.	CONCLUSION	17
AJ	PPENDIX A: CLAIMS APPENDIX	18
	PPENDIX B: EVIDENCE APPENDIX	
	PPENDIX C: RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX	

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The invention is assigned to Intel Corporation of 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa

Clara, California 95052.

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellants note that an appeal is pending for a related divisional application, serial

number 09/580,681, filed May 26, 2000, entitled "Method And Apparatus For Selecting From

Among Multiple Upgraded Media Features For Transmitted Entertainment Programs." This

application is also claims the benefit of the priority of prior application serial number

09/183,587, filed October 30, 1998, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Purchasing Upgraded

Media Features for Programming Transmissions."

However, Appellants do not believe that the related appeal will directly affect, be directly

affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision.

3. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-21, and 23-26 are now pending in the above referenced patent

application. Claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-21, and 23-26 were rejected in the Final Office Action mailed

on March 02, 2006 and are the subject of this appeal.

4. STATUS OF THE AMENDMENTS

No amendments have been filed subject to the Final Rejection.

A copy of all claims on appeal is attached hereto as Appendix A.

Page 3 of 25

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

#### 5. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The claimed subject matter provides an improved method and apparatus for purchasing media features for programming transmissions. Information regarding a plurality of different entertainment programs and a plurality of different upgraded media features associated with each entertainment program is received. A list of entertainment programs and, for each entertainment program, a plurality of different upgraded media features are displayed at a user terminal. (See Fig. 5) An entertainment program selection together with one or more upgraded media feature selections from the displayed plurality are transmitted to an entertainment program provider (See Fig. 4, blocks 420 & 430) and the selected entertainment program with the selected upgraded media features is received by the user (See Fig. 4, block 460). In one embodiment, the received and displayed information includes received information regarding costs for each of the plurality of different upgraded media features (See Fig. 5). Specification page 4, lines 2-9.

Figure 1 illustrates one embodiment of the present invention. The present invention allows viewers to purchase upgraded media features. For instance, in one embodiment, a viewer at client system 110 who has ordered a pay-per-view movie can pay an additional fee to receive the movie at a higher video resolution and/or in digital Dolby surround sound rather than monotone audio.

Upgraded media features include, but are not limited to, a wide variety of audio, video, and interactive effects. For instance, video resolution can be upgraded. This is particularly true for digital transmissions, such as broadcasts, from a view-on-demand programming source, such as a broadcast source. A broadcast source may have the option to send multiple broadcasts over a single digital channel simultaneously. For instance, a view-on-demand broadcast source may have access to 200 channels in a particular area, and up to 20 broadcasts may be downloaded

09/05/06 08:12 FAX 5032641729

Ø1010

Appl. No. 09/580,305

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

simultaneously over each channel. As the number of simultaneous broadcasts increase, the video quality of any one broadcast decreases. So, a viewer could pay more to receive a broadcast over a channel with fewer simultaneous broadcasts.

In one embodiment, a range of upgrades may be available. For instance, a default range of video resolution may be at the lowest possible resolution level, having the maximum number of simultaneous broadcasts on a single channel. A highest range may be at the maximum possible resolution, having a single broadcast on a single channel. Certain televisions, however, may not be able to take full advantage of the highest possible resolution because the televisions cannot resolve that much detail. In which case, one or more middle ranges may be created at approximately the levels of resolution that many types of televisions can display. For each incremental level of resolution, more bandwidth is needed for the broadcast, so the price of each incremental level of resolution may be higher.

Similar approaches can be contemplated and implemented for a variety of upgraded media features from a wide range of broadcast sources. As another example, a viewer may pay for upgraded access to an interactive event. For instance, a real-time three-dimensional virtual gaming environment may be accessed by hundreds of virtual competitors over a network connection such as the Internet, a cable connection, satellite communications, etc. For each competitor, processing bandwidth may be expended providing video and audio, and tracking movements and actions, etc. A gamer may purchase upgraded media features for additional video detail, audio quality, the ability to speak in real time to other gamers, etc. Each incremental level of detail consumes more bandwidth and may have an incrementally higher cost.

09/05/06 08:12 FAX 5032641729

Appl. No. 09/580,305

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

Another possible upgraded media feature is a pay-per-record feature. For instance, digital television can be transmitted in a view-only format so that a recorded image of the transmission is impaired. In which case, a viewer may buy an upgraded media feature to receive a recordable version of the broadcast.

In the illustrated embodiment of Figure 1, as discussed in more detail below, a viewer at client system 110 selects one or more upgraded media features for a broadcast. The selection is sent to server system 140 over communications media 120. Server system 140 automatically coordinates billing for the upgraded media features, and automatically coordinates providing the upgraded media features from broadcast sources 130. Numerous client systems 110 can be coupled to one or more server systems 140. For instance, server systems 140 may be distributed by region, and a region may include thousands of client systems 110.

Communications media 120 represents a wide range of media. For instance, communications media 120 could include UHF/VHF wireless transmission, twisted pair telephone lines, fiber optic lines, coaxial cable lines such as cable television lines, satellite antenna wireless transmissions, computer network communications such as through the Internet or a wide or local area network, etc. Communications among client system 110, broadcast sources 130, and server system 140 may involve a combination of media within communications media 120. For instance, client system 110 may communicate with server system 140 over the Internet, server system 140 may communicate with broadcast sources 130 over direct telephone lines, and broadcast sources 130 may transmit to client system 110 over satellite antenna transmissions. Numerous additional combinations and alternate connections can be contemplated and used.

**2**012

Appl. No. 09/580,305

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

Broadcast sources 130 can include a wide variety of digital and analog audio, video, and interactive sources. For instance, broadcast sources 130 may include local television and radio stations received over a regular antenna, television and radio stations received over a mini-dish satellite antenna, television and radio stations received over a coaxial cable, a number of interactive web sites accessible over the Internet, etc. Broadcasts can also be received from broadcast sources simultaneously. For instance, a viewer may receive an interactive broadcast, such as a game, and an audio broadcast, such as music, at the same time.

Figure 2 illustrates one embodiment of client system 110 in more detail. As discussed below with reference to Figure 6, client system 110 may be an entertainment system including numerous entertainment components. In the illustrated embodiment, the components include user interface 210, program database 220, and turner interface 230. User interface 210 includes any of a number of output devices such as a display device and/or an audio device to provide a viewer with one or more optional upgrades and a cost for each upgrade for a given broadcast. User interface 210 also includes any of a number of input devices such as a mouse, a track ball, a key pad, a voice recognition unit, etc. The viewer can select from the one or more optional upgrades using an input device. Specification page 6, lines 2 to page 9, lines 4.

Figure 3 illustrates one embodiment of server system 140 in more detail. Server system 140 includes processing server 310 and billing server 320. Processing server 310 receives a selection from client system 110 over communications media 120. The selection indicates one or more upgraded media features for a particular broadcast and a cost for each upgrade. Processing server 310 provides the cost information to billing server 320. With the upgraded media features, processing server 310 places an order to the respective broadcast source or

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

sources among broadcast sources 130 to have the upgraded media features provided to the viewer at client system 110. Specification page 9, lines 20 to page 10, lines 4.

Figure 4 demonstrates one embodiment of the present invention. Figure 5 illustrates one embodiment of a display provided by a user interface from which upgraded media features can be selected. Figure 6 illustrates one embodiment of an entertainment system suitable for use with the present invention. Figure 7 illustrates one embodiment of a computing device suitable for use with the present invention. Figure 8 illustrates one embodiment of a computing device suitable for use with the present invention. Specification page 4, lines 18 to page 5, lines 4.

A concise explanation of one embodiment of independent claims 1, 8, 14, and 18 is provided above in relation to Figure 1 and the Specification page 6, lines 2 through page 8, line 18. A concise explanation of one embodiment of independent claim 21 is provided above in relation to Figure 3 and the Specification page 9, lines 20 to page 10, lines 4. A concise explanation of one embodiment of independent claim 23 is provided above in relation to Figure 2 and the Specification page 8, lines 18 through page 9, line 4. A concise explanation of one embodiment of independent claims 25 and 26 is provided above in relation to Figure 1 and the Specification page 6, lines 2 through page 8, line 18. Figure 4 and Specification page 13, lines 6 to 16 may also prove enlightening, but are not needed, to understand the various claims. It is understood that other embodiments of the various claims are discussed in the Specification and that the claimed subject matter is not limited solely to the embodiments discussed within the Specification.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

## 6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

The above referenced patent application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action, dated March 2, 2006, in which:

- claims 1, 2, 8-15, 18-21, 23, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrubba et al. (hereafter, 'Carrubba,' US Patent No. 5,629,866) in combination with Hjelsvold et al. (hereinafter 'Hjelsvold;' US Publication No. 2003/0145333 A1);
- claims 3-6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Carrubba in combination with Hjelsvold, Wonfer et al. (hereinafter 'Wonfer;' US
   Patent No. 6,381,747, and Ellis et al. (hereinafter 'Ellis;' US Patent No. 6,357,043; and
- claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrubba in combination with Hjelsvold, and Hendricks et al. (hereinafter 'Hendricks;' US Patent No. 5,990,927).

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

#### 7. ARGUMENT

#### 7.1. 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

#### 7.1.1. Carrubba and Hjelsvold: Claims 1, 2, 8-15, 18-21, 23, 25, and 26

The PTO has also rejected claims 1, 2, 8-15, 18-21, 23, 25, and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based upon Carrubba in combination with Hjelsvold. The rejection of these claims is respectfully traversed.

M.P.E.P. § 706.02(j) sets forth the standard for a § 103(a) rejection:

To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met.

First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or combine reference teachings.

Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success.

Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations.

The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure. *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991) (whitespace added).

Appellants begin with claim 1. Claim 1 recites:

1. (Original) A method comprising:

123456

receiving a selection to buy an upgraded media feature for a programming transmission; automatically coordinating purchase of the upgraded media feature for the programming pission; and

automatically coordinating provision of the upgraded media feature for the programming transmission.

Appellants respectfully assert that the combination set forth by the PTO fails to meet the requirement for a *prima facie* case for a § 103(a) rejection for at least the following reasons.

Attorney Docket: 042390,P6484D2

# 7.1.1.1 Carrubba does not transmit the program: Program is housed on CD-i disc

It is respectfully asserted that neither Carrubba nor Hjelsvold, either alone or in combination, suggests or describes receiving a selection to buy an upgraded media feature <u>for a programming transmission</u>. The PTO asserts that Carrubba teaches this limitation. See, Carrubba column 1, lines 52-64. However, it is respectfully asserted that Carrubba does <u>not</u> teach this limitation.

Carrubba instead teaches an upgraded media feature for a program originating on CD-i

Player. See Carruba Fig. 1, element 6 and col. 4, lines 13-20. Carruba shows the original program coming from the CD-i player and the complementary programming coming from a data source. A CD-i player is defined as:

CD-i (Compact Disc - interactive) is the multimedia CD format specified in 1986 (in the Green Book). CD-i was specified as an entire system, comprising not just a disc and data format, but a hardware and software system, a variety of special compression methods for audio and visual data, and a method of interleaving audio, video, and text data. Developed as a user-friendly alternative to a PC, CD-I players are easier to use, and have TV video output as well. Full screen motion video capabilities were added to the original specification later.

A CD-i player is a stand-alone system that includes a CPU, memory, and an integrated operating system. It can be connected to a TV set for displaying pictures and sound, or to a stereo system. The user interacts by positioning a cursor and selecting options, with a device such as a specialized remote control.

Although CD-i never realized broad commercial success, it is now used in education, training, and other professional applications.

(from WhatIs.Com on December 18, 2005 at http://searchstorage.techtarget.com/sDefinition/0,,sid5\_gci503661,00.html)

In Appellants' claim 1, the original program is a "programming transmission." Carruba's original program is not transmitted. It is housed on a CD-i disc. See Carruba Figs 1, elements s1 & 6. Therefore, it is respectfully asserted that Carruba fails to meet the limitations of the claim for which it is put forth. The PTO does not assert that Hjelsvold ameliorates this deficiency. Therefore, even if the combination were proper, although Appellants believe that it is not,

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

nonetheless, the combination would still fail to produce the invention as recited in the rejected claims. It is, therefore, respectfully requested that the rejection of this claim be withdrawn.

# 7.1.1.2 Carrubba does not transmit the program: Carrubba instead transmits "upgraded media feature"

It is respectfully asserted that neither Carrubba nor Hjelsvold, either alone or in combination, suggests or describes receiving a selection to buy an upgraded media feature <u>for a programming transmission</u>. The PTO asserts that Carrubba teaches this limitation. See, Carrubba column 1, line 65 – col 2, line 9. However, it is respectfully asserted that Carrubba does not teach this limitation.

In the March 02, 2006 Office Action, the PTO states that Carruba does show a programming transmission at Carruba col. 1, line 65 – col 2, line 9, which reads (emphasis added):

An embodiment for a system according to the invention is characterized in that the storage medium containing the basic part is located near the merging means and in that the other storage medium containing the complementary part is linked to the merging means via a transmission line of a communications network. The communications network is, for example, the public telephone network. The storage medium containing the complementary part is located, for example, in a data bank controlled by the provider. The complementary part stored in the databank is accessible to a plurality of users of a system according to the invention via the telephone network.

Appellants admit that at first glance the PTO's arguments seem plausible; however it is respectfully asserted that upon further examination an error is evident. It is respectfully asserted that Carruba shows the "complementary part" being transmitted, not the "basic part". The "basic part" is stored locally, on the CD-i disc. Appellants' claim 1 requires that the "basic part" be a "programming transmission". It is respectfully asserted that what Carruba is transmitting is not the "programming transmission" (i.e. basic part) but instead the "upgraded media feature" (i.e. complementary part) of Appellants claim. The PTO does not assert that Hielsvold

09/05/06 08:15 FAX 5032641729

**2**018

Appl. No. 09/580,305

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

ameliorates this deficiency. Therefore, even if the combination were proper, although Appellants believe that it is not, nonetheless, the combination would still fail to produce the invention as recited in the rejected claims. It is, therefore, respectfully requested that the rejection of this claim be withdrawn.

#### 7.1.1.3 Conclusion

Claims 2, 8-15, 18-21, 23, 25, and 26 either depend from and include the limitations of claim 1, or include a substantially similar and patentably distinct limitation as claim 1. Therefore, these claims patentably distinguish from the cited patents on the same basis as claim 1. It is, therefore, respectfully requested that the PTO withdraw the rejections of these claims.

23456

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

#### 7.1.2. Carrubba, Hjelsvold, Wonfer, and Ellis: Claims 3-6 and 16

The PTO has also rejected claims 3-6 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) on Carrubba in combination with Hjelsvold, Wonfer, and Ellis. The rejection of these claims is also traversed.

Applicant begins with claim 3. Claim 3 recites:

3. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the automatically coordinating the purchase of the upgraded media feature comprises:
 billing a client for services performed by a server system; and providing billing information about the client to at least one of a plurality of programming transmission sources that provided the programming transmission.

Claim 3 ultimately depends from the independent claim 1. Claim 1 recites:

1. (Original) A method comprising:

receiving a selection to buy an upgraded media feature for a programming transmission; automatically coordinating purchase of the upgraded media feature for the programming transmission; and

automatically coordinating provision of the upgraded media feature for the programming transmission.

Appellants respectfully assert that the combination set forth by the PTO fails to meet the requirement for a *prima facie* case for a § 103(a) rejection for at least the following reasons.

It is respectfully asserted that neither Carrubba, Hjelsvold, Wonfer, nor Ellis, either alone or in combination, suggests or describes receiving a selection to buy an upgraded media feature for a programming transmission. The PTO asserts that Carrubba teaches this limitation. See, Carrubba column 1, lines 52-64. However, it is respectfully asserted that Carrubba does not teach this limitation. See discussion above. The PTO does not assert that either Hjelsvold, Wonfer, or Ellis ameliorates this deficiency. Therefore, even if the combination were proper, although Appellants believe that it is not, nonetheless, the combination would still fail to produce the invention as recited in the rejected claims. It is, therefore, respectfully requested that the rejection of this claim be withdrawn.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

Claims 4-6 and 16 either depend from and include the limitations of claim 3, or include a substantially similar and patentably distinct limitation as claim 3. Therefore, these claims patentably distinguish from the cited patents on the same basis as claim 3. It is, therefore, respectfully requested that the PTO withdraw the rejections of these claims.

2 3

4

2 3 Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

#### 7.1.3. Carrubba, Hjelsvold, and Hendricks: Claim 24

The PTO has also rejected claim 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) on Carrubba in combination with Hielsvold and Hendricks. The rejection of this claim is also traversed.

Applicant begins with claim 24. Claim 24 recites:

24. (Original) The apparatus of claim 23 wherein the client system comprises: an entertainment system to display the programming transmission with the upgraded media feature; and a user interface to provide a plurality of selection options to a user, said user interface to receive the selection from the user.

Claim 24 ultimately depends from the independent claim 23. Claim 23 recites:

23. (Original) An apparatus comprising: a client system to send a selection to a server system to buy an upgraded media feature for a programming transmission, said client system to receive the programming with the upgraded media feature.

Appellants respectfully assert that the combination set forth by the PTO fails to meet the requirement for a *prima facie* case for a § 103(a) rejection for at least the following reasons.

It is respectfully asserted that neither Carrubba, Hjelsvold, nor Hendricks, either alone or in combination, suggests or describes buying an upgraded media feature <u>for a programming transmission</u>. The PTO asserts that Carrubba teaches this limitation. See, Carrubba column 1, lines 52-64. However, it is respectfully asserted that Carrubba does <u>not</u> teach this limitation. See discussion above. The PTO does not assert that either Hjelsvold or Hendricks ameliorates this deficiency. Therefore, even if the combination were proper, although Appellants believe that it is not, nonetheless, the combination would still fail to produce the invention as recited in the rejected claims. It is, therefore, respectfully requested that the rejection of this claim be withdrawn.

09/05/06 08:16 FAX 5032641729

**2**022

Appl. No. 09/580,305

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

#### 8. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully asserted that all claims pending in this application, as amended, are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions, they are invited to contact the undersigned at 503-264-7002. Reconsideration of this patent application and early allowance of all claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 5, 2006

/Justin B. Scout/ Justin B. Scout Reg. No. 54,431

Intel Corporation 2111 NE 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue Hillsboro, Oregon, 97124 503-264-7002

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

Appl. No. 09/580,305

## **APPENDIX A: CLAIMS APPENDIX**

- 1 1. (Original) A method comprising:
- 2 receiving a selection to buy an upgraded media feature for a programming transmission;
- 3 automatically coordinating purchase of the upgraded media feature for the programming
- 4 transmission; and
- 5 automatically coordinating provision of the upgraded media feature for the programming
- 6 transmission.
- 2. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the receiving comprises receiving the selection
- 2 from an entertainment system, and the programming transmission is provided to the
- 3 entertainment system with the upgraded media feature.
- 1 3. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the automatically coordinating the purchase of the
- 2 upgraded media feature comprises:
- 3 billing a client for services performed by a server system; and
- 4 providing billing information about the client to at least one of a plurality of
- 5 programming transmission sources that provided the programming transmission.
- 4. (Original) The method of claim 3 wherein the billing is one of performed individually for each
- 2 billable transaction and performed according to a billing cycle for at least one billable transaction
- 3 during the billing cycle.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

- 5. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the automatically coordinating the purchase of the
- 2 upgraded media feature comprises:
- 3 billing a client for services performed by a server system and at least one of a plurality of
- 4 programming transmission sources that provided the programming transmission; and
- 5 receiving a bill for the portion of the services performed by the at least one of the
- 6 plurality of programming transmission sources at a later time.
- 1 6. (Original) The method of claim 5 wherein the billing the client and receiving the bill are one
- 2 of performed individually for each billable transaction and performed according to a billing cycle
- 3 for at least one transaction during the billing cycle.
  - 7. (Cancelled)
- 1 8. (Original) A method comprising:
- 2 sending a selection to a server system to buy an upgraded media feature for a
- 3 programming transmission; and
- 4 receiving the programming transmission with the upgraded media feature.
- 1 9. (Original) The method of claim 8 further comprising:
- 2 receiving a bill, said bill based at least in part on receiving the upgraded media feature.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

- 1 10. (Original) The method of claim 9 wherein the receiving the bill comprises a charge to a credit
- 2 account at one of the end of a billing cycle and at a time when the upgraded media feature is
- 3 received.
- 1 11. (Original) The method of claim 8 wherein the programming transmission comprises at least
- 2 one of a movie, a documentary, an audio production, an interactive media event, a situation
- 3 comedy, a news program, and a televised sports event.
- 1 12. (Original) The method of claim 8 wherein the upgraded media feature comprises at least one
- 2 of a video upgrade, an audio upgrade, a recordable version, and an increased access rate for an
- 3 interactive event.
- 1 13. (Original) The method of claim 8 wherein the programming transmission is received from
- 2 one of a plurality of programming transmission sources and the plurality of programming
- 3 transmission sources include at least one of cable television, antenna reception, satellite
- 4 reception, mini-dish satellite reception, telephone dial-up service, and Internet access.
- 1 14. (Original) A machine readable storage medium having stored thereon machine readable
- 2 instructions, execution of said machine readable instructions to implement a method comprising:
- receiving a selection to buy an upgraded media feature for a programming transmission;
- 4 automatically coordinating purchase of the upgraded media feature for the programming
- 5 transmission; and

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

- 6 automatically coordinating provision of the upgraded media feature for the programming
- 7 transmission.
- 1 15. (Original) The machine readable storage medium of claim 14, execution of said machine
- 2 readable instructions to further implement:
- 3 billing a client for services performed by a server system; and
- 4 providing billing information about the client to at least one of a plurality of
- 5 programming transmission sources that provided the programming transmission.
- 1 16. (Original) The machine readable storage medium of claim 14 execution of said machine
- 2 readable instructions to further implement:
- 3 billing a client for services performed by a server system and at least one of a plurality of
- 4 programming transmission sources that provided the programming transmission; and
- 5 receiving a bill for the portion of the services performed by the at least one of the
- 6 plurality of programming transmission sources at a later time.

#### 17. (Cancelled)

- 1 18. (Original) A machine readable storage medium having stored thereon machine readable
- 2 instructions, execution of said machine readable instructions to implement a method comprising:
- 3 sending a selection to a server system to buy an upgraded media feature for a
- 4 programming transmission; and
- 5 receiving the programming transmission with the upgraded media feature.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

- 1 19. (Original) The machine readable storage medium of claim 18 execution of said machine
- 2 readable instructions to further implement:
- 3 receiving a bill, said bill based at least in part on receiving the upgraded media feature.
- 20. (Original) The machine readable storage medium of claim 19 wherein the receiving the bill
- 2 comprises a charge to a credit account at one of the end of a billing cycle and at a time when the
- 3 upgraded media feature is received.
- 1 21. (Original) An apparatus comprising:
- a server system to receive a selection to buy an upgraded media feature for a
- 3 programming transmission, automatically coordinate purchase of the upgraded media feature for
- 4 the programming transmission, and automatically coordinate provision of the upgraded media
- 5 feature for the programming transmission.
  - 22. (Cancelled)
- 1 23. (Original) An apparatus comprising:
- a client system to send a selection to a server system to buy an upgraded media feature
- 3 for a programming transmission, said client system to receive the programming with the
- 4 upgraded media feature.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

- 24. (Original) The apparatus of claim 23 wherein the client system comprises:
- 2 an entertainment system to display the programming transmission with the upgraded
- 3 media feature; and
- a user interface to provide a plurality of selection options to a user, said user interface to
- 5 receive the selection from the user.
- 1 25. (Original) An apparatus comprising:
- a receiver to receive a selection to buy an upgraded media feature for a programming
- 3 transmission;
- a purchasing unit to automatically coordinate purchase of the upgraded media feature for
- 5 the programming transmission; and
- a provision unit to automatically coordinate provision of the upgraded media feature for
- 7 the programming transmission.
- 1 26. (Original) An apparatus comprising:
- 2 a sending unit to send a selection to a server system to buy an upgraded media feature for a
- 3 programming transmission; and
- 4 a receiving unit to receive the programming transmission.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

## **APPENDIX B: EVIDENCE APPENDIX**

To the best of Appellants' knowledge, there is no evidence submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the present appeal that will directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision.

Attorney Docket: 042390.P6484D2

#### **APPENDIX C: RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX**

To the best of Appellants' knowledge, there are no appeals or interferences related to the present appeal that will directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision.

Appellants note that an appeal is pending for a related divisional application, serial number 09/580,681, filed May 26, 2000, entitled "Method And Apparatus For Selecting From Among Multiple Upgraded Media Features For Transmitted Entertainment Programs." This application is also claims the benefit of the priority of prior application serial number 09/183,587, filed October 30, 1998, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Purchasing Upgraded Media Features for Programming Transmissions." However, Appellants do not believe that the related appeal will directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision.